



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,320	07/07/2003		Jean-Michel Bernardon	2350-100	4227	
23117	7590	02/22/2006		EXAMINER		
		RHYE, PC	STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE			
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1626		

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,320	BERNARDON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No	ovember 2005		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		socution as to the morits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
closed in accordance with the practice under L	x parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 43		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-11,14-20,23-26 and 28-45 is/are per	nding in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-45 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11, 14-20 and 23-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
<u> </u>	nninnihndon 25 H.C.C. S 440(-)	(4) (5)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	. Name of the same		
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		_	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/7/03.1/21/05&. II 21 05	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/613,320 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-11, 14-20, 23-26 and 28-45 are pending in the application.

Election/Restriction

Applicants' election with traverse of Group XIII and the election of species of Example 47 found on page 82 (structural depiction shown below) in the reply filed on November 21, 2005 is acknowledged.

6-[2-(5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthyl)biphenyl-4-yl]nicotinic acid

The traversal is on the grounds that: (1) the examination of the subject matter of the Examiner's Group XVI and XVII with an elected product is not

believed to have presented an undue burden for the present Examiner in the parent application; and (2) rejoinder and allowance of the method claims are requested with the product claims from which they depend.

Applicants' arguments have been considered but have not been found persuasive. Contrary to Applicants' arguments, a restriction was made between products and methods of use in parent application 09/932,938 (which matured to U.S. Pat. 6,649,612). See the Office Action dated January 28, 2002, Paper No. 5. The grandparent application, 09/284,026 (which matured to U.S. Pat. 6,316,009), was a 371 application and as such, a lack of unity was made. As noted in the Restriction Requirement in the instant application, separate search considerations are involved for each of the outlined Therefore, it would impose an undue burden on groups. the Examiner and the Patent Office's resources if unrestricted.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,320

Art Unit: 1626

Further, in accordance with M.P.E.P. §821.04 and In re Ochiai, 71 F.3d 1565, 37 USPQ 1127 (Fed. Cir. 1995), rejoinder of product claims with process claims commensurate in scope with the allowed product claims will occur following a finding that the product claims are allowable. Until, such time, a restriction between product claims and process claims is deemed proper. Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to maintain either dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Page 4

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,320

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 28-45 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions. Election was made with traverse in the reply filed on November 21, 2005.

Page 5

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/284,026, filed on April 6, 1999.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Examiner has considered the Information Disclosure Statements filed July 7, 2003, January 21, 2005 and November 21, 2005.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-11, 14-17, 20 and 23-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,316,009. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claimed

compounds are generically described in the claims of the patent.

The indiscriminate selection of "some" among "many" is prima facie obvious, <u>In re Lemin</u>, 141 USPQ 814 (1964). The motivation to make the compounds for the claimed method of use derives from the expectation that structurally similar compounds would possess similar activity (e.g., a cosmetic).

One skilled in the art would thus be motivated to prepare products embraced by the prior art to arrive at the products with the expectation of obtaining additional beneficial products which would be useful in cosmetics. The instant claimed invention would have been suggested to one skilled in the art and therefore, the instant claimed invention would have been obvious to one skilled in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11, 14-20 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, under the definition of Ar, an "and" should be added after structure "(b)" for proper Markush form. In claim 4, the expression "linear or branched alkyl radical, when it is C_1 - C_{15} " lacks antecedent basis from claim 1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached

on Monday-Friday from 6:15 am to 2:45 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1600

February 21, 2006